

**To all members of the Steering Group.**

We have discussed the future of the Steering Group on a number of occasions. In many ways it has fulfilled its purpose in publishing the Plan, organising the transition and launching the new partnership.

The **Delivery Groups** are functioning and will be responsible for informing the public, delivering projects and initiating new ideas.

The **Hayle Area Plan Management Team** has started its work and will be responsible for maintaining an overview of progress, facilitating the involvement of Council officers and managing any Plan Manager appointed.

However there are key roles which these groups cannot deliver:

1. They will not be in a position to apply for some funding streams. In many cases it will be possible to unitize existing charitable groups to apply to funders such as the Lottery but this will not always be the case and a constituted body will be essential to draw some funds into the Town.
2. Control the day to day running of the HAPP office. We must ensure that the office is maintained and provides an access point for the affairs of the partnership.
3. Manage the monies of the Partnership and arrange insurance. It could be possible for the District Council to fulfil this role as it does for other MCTi's but an independent structure would be more advantageous.
4. Provide a facility for drawing together the Chairmen of the Delivery Groups – for cross referencing of ideas/information and as a 'support group'.

**I attach a paper written by Sally Newby (PDC) describing the pros and cons of a new organisation.**

From its inception the MCTi has existed under the umbrella of the Hayle Area Forum. They initiated the Plan and managed its funds etc. I have suggested a way forward which would see the Forum reconstituted to fulfil the above but they have declined. Whatever the future of the Forum we will need a different way to manage the funds and provide the insurance and other services which they have provided so far. Following discussion with Sally I suggest that we could replace the Steering Group with:

A group which would be made up of: **the chairs of the Delivery Groups**

**A Chairman, Secretary and Treasurer\***

**An officer from PDC**

**The Plan Partnership Manager.**

**One Representatives of each of the Parish**

**Councils Hayle, Gwinear/Gwithian, St Erth.**

*\*Initially I would propose this would be the existing Secretary, Treasurer and Chairman of the Steering group and in future these posts could be elected from the Delivery Groups.*

This would create a committee of 13. The group could provide one additional member of the Hayle Area Plan Management team.

If this new group registered as an 'Unincorporated Association' with charitable status it would provide the whole partnership with greater flexibility, allow all the statutory requirements to be fulfilled and provide a mechanism to bring the delivery groups together.

John Pollard

09/05/07

# Hayle MCTI Organisation

Why do we need a new organisation?

Functions .	Reasons against.	Reasons for.
Apply for and manage funds on behalf of the MCTI Delivery Groups	<p>The Hayle Area Forum currently manages this on behalf of the MCTI and could re- launch to perform this function longer term</p> <p>As a short term solution PDC could hold existing funds</p>	<p>The Hayle Area Forum does not wish to have a role in managing the MCTI Delivery and may decide to disband.</p> <p>Unlikely that PDC could apply for additional funds e.g Big Lottery Building Communities programme.</p> <p>Community Regeneration team only funded until November 2007.</p>
Employ Staff	<p>PDC, HTC, PCDT could do this on behalf of the MCTI Delivery Groups.</p> <p>PDC could offer this service 'free of charge'</p> <p>Lack of experience of managing staff – No infrastructure to act as an employing body.</p>	<p>HAPP would have more control over the work and priorities of employee(s).</p> <p>PDC structures/policies may change in light of Local Government Re-organisation, however CCC structures hinge on Community Networks of which Hayle may be one.</p>
Own property and land	<p>HTC and/or HTT already perform this function on the behalf of the Community.</p>	<p>Community led third sector organisations could benefit from Cabinet Office proposals for a Community Assets Fund.</p>
Apply for funding for and manage projects	<p>Other organisations in the town could be eligible/suitable to apply for funding on their behalf.</p>	<p>Dependent on the nature of the project, existing organisations may not be suitable</p>
Providing a mechanism for Chairs and members of Delivery Groups to get together to discuss common issues	<p>The MCTI Steering Group already provides this mechanism</p>	
To represent the Delivery groups and advocate on their behalf to	<p>Role of HAPPMT?</p>	<p>Could appoint a direct representative to HAPPMT</p>

Functions.	Reasons against.	Reasons for.
other key stakeholders		
To publicise the work of the HAPP	Role of HAPPMT?	